UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,470	10/25/2005	Martin Auer	095309.56241US	4105
23911 CROWELL & I	7590 06/09/200 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			TO, TUAN C	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/533,470	AUER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TUAN C. TO	3663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 April 2005 is/are: a)	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to l				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/06/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Frimberger et al. (US 7,017,700 B2).

With respect to claims 9, 17, and 18 Frimberger et al. teaches a system/method for detecting rollover situation in such a way that restraint, such as belt tighteners or head airbags can be deployed at the right time during rollover.

Frimberger et al. teaches a decision stage which generates a triggering decision for the vehicle safety device if a travel behavior of the vehicle which is critical for safety is determined, based on dynamic vehicle movement parameters (see figure 3; column 3, lines 44-46). Thus, Frimberger et al. teaches the plausibility checking stage in which the safety device is activated.

Frimberger et al. teaches that in case of vehicle rollovers which are preceded by a driving situation with a large inclination detected, the occupant is in the unfolding zone of an airbag (head airbag, curtain), then the airbag will not be activated under any

Art Unit: 3663

circumstances. In this situation, Frimberger et al. teaches the triggering decision is made as implausible when the large inclination is detect when the occupant in the unfolding zone of an airbag.

Page 3

As to claim 10, Frimberger et al. teaches checking the plausibility of the triggering decision using a parameter which is indicative of rate of change in the travel behavior (see column 3, lines 26-31; the angular speed W is used in the decision of triggering the restraint device).

As to claim 11, Frimberger et al. teaches that in case of vehicle rollovers which are preceded by a driving situation with a large inclination detected, the occupant is in the unfolding zone of an airbag (head airbag, curtain), then the airbag will not be activated under any circumstances. In this situation, Frimberger et al. teaches the triggering decision is made as implausible when the large inclination is detect when the occupant in the unfolding zone of an airbag.

As to claims 12-14, in Frimberger et al., in case of plausibility, the rollover criterion W exceeds the dynamic rollover threshold S, then a rollover is detected and the restraint device is triggered. Therefore, in case of implausibility, the rollover criterion W is below the rollover threshold S, then the restrain device is prevented to trigger (see column 3, lines 59-61).

As to claim 15, Frimberger et al. teaches that the vehicle safety device can be triggered in a reversible fashion (see column 3, lines 44-61).

As to claim 16, Frimberger et al. further teaches that the vehicle safety device is a seatbelt pretensioner (see column 1, lines 34-36).

Response to Arguments

In response to the applicant's arguments filed on 01/28/2008, the previous rejection has been withdrawn. A new ground of rejection has been set. The claims listed above would not be patentable over the new cited prior art.

Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6985. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/533,470 Page 5

Art Unit: 3663

/Tuan C To/

Acting Examiner of Art Unit 3663/3600

June 4, 2008